

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/450,969 | 11/29/1999 | LYNN DOUCETTE-STAMM | PATH99-09A | 8394 |
| 75 | 90 07/16/2003 | | | |
| NINA L PEARLMUTTER ESQ GENOME THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION 100 BEAVER ST | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SIEW, JEFFREY | |
| WALTHAM, M | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | . 6 dors 4 a, | 1637 | / |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/450,969 | DOUCETTE-STAMM ET AL. | | | | |
| navioury notion | Examin r | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jeffrey Siew | 1637 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension | | | | | | |
| the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imply filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) Methey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-4</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-8 and 10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11-3</u> . | | | | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| O. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendments to claim 5 and 10 would raise new consideration and require further searching as the incorporation of "twenty amino acids" is newly introduced language not seen in the prior prosecution. Moreover hybridization conditions may raise new 112 considerations..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as recited in paper no. 14 a proper response to the final rejection requires cancelation of withdrawn claims. As recited in item 2, the newly amended claims have not been entered. If entered they would appear to overcome the cited prior art..

Jeffrey SIEW
PRIMARY EXAMINER
7/15/03